



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/596,410

06/12/2006

Zoser B. Salama

7014-210

6306

46002 7590 11/07/2008

JOYCE VON NATZMER
PEQUIGNOT + MYERS LLC
200 Madison Avenue
Suite 1901
New York, NY 10016

EXAMINER

HENLEY III, RAYMOND J

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

1614

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

11/07/2008

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/596,410	Applicant(s) SALAMA, ZOSER B.	
	Examiner Raymond J. Henley III	Art Unit 1614	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on ____.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 13-26 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) ____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) ____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 12-26 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) ____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) ____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on ____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. ____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. ____. |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>5 sheets</u> . | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: ____. |

CLAIMS 13-26 ARE PRESENTED FOR EXAMINATION

Applicants' Preliminary Amendment and Information Disclosure Statements have been received and entered into the application. Accordingly, the specification and claims have been amended as indicated and, as reflected by the attached, completed copies of form PTO/SB/08, (5 sheets), the cited references have been considered.

The references cited by the Examiner on the attached form PTO-892 and not relied upon are included to show the general state of the art.

Claim Rejection - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 13-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over any one of Rubinfeld, (U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2002/0111362), Poiani et al.,

Art Unit: 1614

(U.S. Patent No. 5,327,807) or Yu et al., (U.S. Patent No. 5,091,171), each cited by the Examiner.

Each patentee teaches the administration of, *inter alia*, cis-hydroxyproline (CHP), (a.k.a., cis-4-hydroxyproline, 3-hydroxyproline, cis-hydroxyproline or telomycin), (see Rubinfeld at paragraph [0026], line 13; Poiani et al. at the abstract, for example, lines 4-5) and Yu et al. at col. 4, lines 67-68). The patentees administer the compounds for the same underlying condition as Applicants, (compare previous claim 7 with Rubinfeld where they teach the treatment of various tumors, (e.g., paragraphs [0028] - [0029] and/or infections paragraph [0031]); Poiani et al. where they teach fibrotic conditions, (e.g., the abstract); or Yu et al. where they teach various inflammatory/infectious conditions, (e.g., the claims).

Depending on the pathological condition, each of the patentees teach various dosage forms corresponding to Applicants' and various dosage amounts/concentrations, (see Rubinfeld at paragraphs beginning at [0070]; Poiani et al. beginning at col. 11; and Yu et al. at cols. 13-14).

The differences between the above and the claimed subject matter lies in that the patentees fail to mention that the active agents inhibit collagen IV and/or glutathione S transferase as well as each of the presently claimed dosage forms and amounts.

However, the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains because the mere recognition of a compounds biological activity, not disclosed in a prior art document, fails to produce a new method or composition where

Art Unit: 1614

the compound is used in the same manner and in a patient population not patentably distinct from the population claimed.

Further, "Generally, differences in concentration or temperature will not support the patentability of subject matter encompassed by the prior art unless there is evidence indicating such concentration or temperature is critical. "[W]here the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, it is not inventive to discover the optimum or workable ranges by routine experimentation." In re Aller, 220 F.2d 454, 456, 105 USPQ 233, 235 (CCPA 1955)" (see MPEP 2144.05(II)). The determination of the optimum dosage regimen to employ, for the purposes disclosed in the prior art which would overlap with Applicants', with the presently claimed active agents would have been a matter well within the purview of one of ordinary skill in the art and such determination would have been made in accordance with a variety of factors. These would have included the age, weight, sex, diet and medical condition of the patient, severity of the disease, the route of administration, pharmacological considerations such as the activity, efficacy, pharmacokinetics and toxicology profiles of the particular compound employed, whether a drug delivery system is utilized and whether the compound is administered a part of a drug combination. Thus, the dosage regimen that would have actually been employed would have varied widely and, in the absence of evidence to the contrary, the currently claimed specific dosage amounts are not seen to be inconsistent the dosages that would have been determined by the skilled artisan.

None of the claims are currently in condition for allowance.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Raymond J. Henley III whose telephone number is 571-

Art Unit: 1614

272-0575. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 8:30 am to 4:00 pm Eastern Time.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ardin H. Marschel can be reached on 571-272-0718. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Raymond J Henley III/
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1614

November 6, 2008